

RB Rail AS K. Valdemāra iela 8-7 Riga, LV-1010 Latvia

Phone: +371 66 967 171 www.railbaltica.org

Riga, 26 June 2018 No 6.1/2018-

Answers to the questions from the interested supplier in open competition "Web development and maintenance Services" ID. No RBR 2018/21

RB Rail AS presents the following answers to the questions received until 26 June 2018 from the interested suppliers:

	Questions	Answers
1,	Since October CMS is based on Laravel framework, will you count any other Laravel based CMS as equivalent for OctoberCMS, since October CMS is very rare CMS?	According to Section 7.5 (1.2.) Clause 3 of Regulation it is expected that the "Web developer" proposed by the Tenderer will have sound knowledge exactly in Worldpress and OctoberCMS platform. Regarding the experience of key experts "Web designer" and "Account manager", Procurement commission indicates, that experience in working in any other platform equivalent to the October CMS (based on Laravele framework) can be proposed. If the Tenderer proposes "Account manager" and "Web designer" with an experience in the equivalent platform to the platform stipulated in Section 7.5. (1.2., 1.3., 1.4.) of open competition Regulations (October CMS (based on Laravele framework)), the Tenderer must explain and provide evidences on what bases it shall be considered as equivalent.
2.	How do entities on whose capabilities the tenderer relies differ from subcontractors?	With a definition of "Subcontractors" Procurement commission understands: A natural or legal person or combination of such person regardless of the legal nature of their mutual relationship involved/hired by the Tenderer or itself, performing the part of the works or services of the procurement contract. With a definition of "Entities on whose capabilities the tenderer relies" Procurement commission understands: "A natural or legal person or combination of such person regardless of the legal nature of their mutual relationship, the

		Tenderer involves in order to comply with the selection requirements for the Tenderers relating to the economic and financial standing and technical and professional ability (including the team of key experts)".
3.	About experience projects – since price of the contract is confidential information, will it be enough that we indicate that the total value of each of services provided is 24 000,00 EUR not mentioning exact price?	Regarding requirement stipulated in Section 7.4. 1. of open competition Regulations: The Tenderer within the previous 3 (three) years (2015, 2016, 2017 including 2018 until the date of submission of the Proposal) has provided web maintenance and development services for at least 3 (three) different clients, where:
		a) total value of each of services provided is 24 000,00 (twenty four thousand <i>euro</i>) without VAT) EUR for each client;
		b) development and maintenance services were provided for web pages with at least 3 languages. Procurement commission indicates that the Tenderer shall completely comply with the requirements stipulated in Section 7.4. 1. of open competition Regulations. In case if the price of the services provided is considered to be confidential, it will be sufficient if the Tenderer has performed services not less than the amount required in Section 7.4. 1. of open competition Regulations and indicated it in it's proposal.

Procurement commission vice-chairperson



B.Ūbele