

Atklāta konkursa "Arhitektūras, ainavu plānošanas un vizuālās identitātes vadlīnijas Rail Baltica dzelzceļa projektēšanai", identifikācijas numurs RBR 2018/6

Open competition "Architectural, landscaping and visual identity design guidelines for Rail Baltica", Identification number RBR 2018/6

Ziņojums /Report

Rīgā
Rīga

2018.gada 7.septembrī
7 September 2018

Pasūtītājs: Akciju sabiedrība RB Rail AS, reģistrācijas numurs: 40103845025, juridiskā adrese: Krišjāņa Valdemāra iela 8-7, Rīga, LV-1010, Latvija.

Contracting authority: Joint stock company RB Rail AS, registration number 40103845025, registered address: Krišjāņa Valdemāra iela 8-7, Riga, LV-1010, Latvia.

Iepirkuma procedūra un identifikācijas numurs: atklāts konkurss „Arhitektūras, ainavu plānošanas un vizuālās identitātes vadlīnijas Rail Baltica dzelzceļa projektēšanai”, identifikācijas numurs RBR 2018/6.

Procurement procedure and identification number: open competition “Architectural, landscaping and visual identity design guidelines for Rail Baltica”, identification number RBR 2018/6.

Datums, kad paziņojums par līgumu publicēts Eiropas Savienības Oficiālajā Vēstnesī: 2018. gada 12.jūnijā (Nr: 250570-2018).

Notice publication date at TED Tenders Electronic Daily: 12 June 2018 (No: 250570-2018).

Datums, kad paziņojums par līgumu publicēts iepirkumu uzraudzības biroja: 2018.gada 10.jūnijā.

Notice publication date at Procurement monitoring bureau: 10 June 2018.

Datums, kad paziņojums par grozījumiem publicēts Eiropas Savienības Oficiālajā Vēstnesī: 2018. gada 28.jūnijā (Nr: 277752-2018).

Notice on amendments publication date at TED Tenders Electronic Daily: 28 June 2018 (No: 277752-2018).

Datums, kad paziņojums par grozījumiem publicēts iepirkumu uzraudzības biroja: 2018.gada 28.jūnijā (pēc iepirkuma komisijas ierosinājuma pagarināts piedāvājumu iesniegšanas termiņš).

Notice on amendments publication date at Procurement monitoring bureau: 28 June 2018 (extended date for submission of proposals after procurement commission suggestion).

Iepirkuma komisija: tās sastāvs apstiprināts ar RB Rail AS valdes 2018.gada 5.jūnija rīkojumu Nr.11/2018-29 un 2018.gada 18.jūlija rīkojuma grozījumiem (rīkojums) Nr.11/2018-45 šādā sastāvā:

Procurement commission: composition of which has been approved by the Management Board of RB Rail AS with order No 11/2018-29 dated 5 June 2018 and amendments (order) No 11/2018-45 in the order, dated 18 July 2018, issued by the Management Board of RB Rail AS, consisting of:

Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētājs: M.Blaus – Vadošais iepirkumu speciālists;
Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētāja vietniece: B.Ūbele – Iepirkumu speciāliste;
Iepirkuma komisijas loceklis: L.Ivanovs – Tehniskās Mijiedarbības Eksperts;
Iepirkuma komisijas loceklis: M.Ulāns – Vecākais telpiskās plānošanas speciālists;
Iepirkuma komisijas loceklis: Ē.Dīļevs – Vecākais ceļu un inženierkomunikāciju inženieris;

Procurement commission chairman: M. Blaus - Lead Procurement Specialist;
Procurement commission vice-chairperson: B.Ūbele – Procurement specialist;
Procurement commission member: L.Ivanovs – Technical Interface Manager;
Procurement commission

Iepirkuma komisijas loceklis: T.Pelčers – Iepirkumu speciālists;
Iepirkuma komisijas loceklis: J.Lukševics – Iepirkumu speciālists;
Iepirkuma komisijas sekretāre (*bez balsstiesībām*) - Iepirkumu speciāliste-juriste – L.Kalniņa.

member: M.Ulāns – Senior Spatial Planning Specialist;
Procurement commission member: Ē.Diļevs – Senior Roads and Utilities Engineer;
Procurement commission member: T.Pelčers – Procurement Specialist;
Procurement commission member: J.Lukševics Procurement specialist;
Procurement commission secretary (*with no voting rights*) - Procurement specialist-lawyer – L.Kalniņa.

Pretendentiem noteiktās kvalifikācijas prasības: noteiktas atklāta konkursa „Arhitektūras, ainavu plānošanas un vizuālās identitātes vadlīnijas Rail Baltica dzelzceļa projektēšanai” nolikumā.

Qualification requirements for the Tenderers: included in regulation of the open competition “Architectural, landscaping and visual identity design guidelines for Rail Baltica”.

Piedāvājuma izvēles kritērijs: saimnieciski visizdevīgākais piedāvājums.

Selection criteria: the most economically advantageous proposal.

Piedāvājumu iesniegšanas termiņš: 2018. gada 30.jūlijs, līdz plkst. 15:00.

Submission date of Proposals: 30 July 2018, till 15:00 o'clock.

Iesniegtie piedāvājumi un piedāvātās līgumcenas:

Proposals submitted and the proposed price:

Iesniegts viens piedāvājums: pretendents 3TI Progetti Italia - Ingegneria Integrata S.p.A., reģ.nr. RM-1005043, piedāvā sniegt iepirkuma priekšmetā noteikto pakalpojumu par kopējo summu 142 540,42 EUR bez PVN apmērā.

One proposal submitted: The Tenderer 3TI Progetti Italia - Ingegneria Integrata S.p.A., reg.no: RM-1005043, offers to provide services for total amount of the Proposal 142 540,42 EUR excl.VAT.

Pretendenta piesaistītais apakšuzņēmējs un tam nododamo darbu daļa no kopējās līguma vērtības: SBS Engineering Group SP. Z.O.O., reģ.Nr: KRS 0000667852, nododamo darbu daļa: 37% no kopējās līguma vērtības.

Engaged subcontractor, the share of whose work exceeds 10 % (ten per cent) of the value of the procurement agreement: SBS Engineering Group SP. Z.O.O., reg.no: KRS 0000667852, the amount of works: 37% of the value of the procurement agreement.

Piedāvājumu atvēršanas vieta, datums un laiks: 2018. gada 30.jūlijā, plkst. 15:00, E-iepirkumu sistēmā.

Place, date and time of the opening of Proposal: 15.00 o'clock, 30 July 2018, at E-Tenders system.

Pretendents, ar kuru tiks slēgts līgums un pamatojums lēmumam piešķirt līguma slēgšanas tiesības vienīgajam pretendentam, kurš iesniedzis piedāvājumu atklātā konkursā:

The Tenderer awarded the contract rights and justification for the decision to award the contract rights to the onliest tenderer submitted its proposal in the open competition:

Iepirkuma komisija 2018.gada 6.septembrī nolēma piešķirt iepirkuma līguma slēgšanas tiesības pretendentam: 3TI Progetti Italia - Ingegneria Integrata S.p.A., reģ.nr. RM-1005043, kurš ar savu piedāvājumu piedāvā sniegt iepirkuma priekšmetā noteikto pakalpojumu par kopējo summu 142 540,42 EUR bez PVN, ņemot vērā, ka iesniegtais piedāvājums pilnībā atbilst visām nolikumā noteiktajām kvalifikācijas prasībām un ir saimnieciski visizdevīgākais piedāvājums. Pretendenta piedāvātā

On 6 September 2018 Procurement commission concluded to assign the procurement agreement rights to the Tenderer: 3TI Progetti Italia - Ingegneria Integrata S.p.A., reg.no RM-1005043, which offers to provide services for the total amount of 142 540,42 EUR excl. VAT, as Proposal submitted by the Tenderer met all qualification criteria described in the open competition Regulation and the Tenderer has submitted the economically most advantageous

pakalpojuma cena ir pilnībā pamatota, samērīga un Pasūtītāja rīcībā esošajiem finanšu līdzekļiem atbilstoša. Atklāta konkursa nolikumā iekļautās prasības ir pamatotas, samērīgas iepirkuma priekšmetam un atbilstošas Publisko iepirkumu likuma regulējumam. Pasūtītājs ir izvirzījis tikai pašas nepieciešamākās, minimālās prasības gan pretendenta pieredzei, gan arī tā personālam (galvenajiem ekspertiem). Prasība par pretendenta vidējo finanšu apgrozījumu iepriekšējo trīs finanšu gadu laikā tika izvirzīta, nosakot vidējā apgrozījuma apmēru, kas nepārsniedz paredzamās līgumcenas apjomu. Papildus, lai veicinātu konkurenci un ievērojot to, ka vasara ir atvaļinājumu sezona vairumā uzņēmumu (potenciālo piegādātāju), Iepirkuma komisija pagarināja piedāvājumu iesniegšanas termiņu atklātā konkursā.

proposal. Tenderers proposed price is completely justified, reasonable and suitable for the financial resources available for the Contracting authority. Requirements included in the open competition Regulation are legitimate, commensurate to the subject-matter and set in accordance with the Public Procurement Law of Republic of Latvia. The Contracting Authority has set only the most necessary and the minimum of requirements as for the Tenderer, as for it's staff (key-experts). Requirement for the tenderer's average financial turnover within the last financial three years has been set for the total amount that does not exceed the estimated contract price in the open competition. Moreover, to increase the competition and considering that summer is vacation season for most of the companies (potential suppliers), Procurement commission extended the deadline for the submission of the proposals in the open competition.

**Piedāvājuma izvērtēšanas
pievienots pielikumā.**

kopsavilkums

Proposal evaluation summary in Annex.

Noraidītie pretendenti: nav.

Rejected Tenderers: none.

Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētājs
Procurement commission chairman



M. Blaus

Selection of the most economically advantageous proposal (Evaluation summary)

No	Criteria	Maximum possible score (points)	Points assigned:					
			M. Blaus	B. Übele	L. Ivanovs	M. Uliāns	T. Pelčers	E. Diļevs
19.2.2.	Financial Proposal	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
	Total points for Financial Proposal:		30					
19.2.1.	Quality of the Technical Proposal, which will be evaluated in accordance with Section 19.5, consisting of the following main sub-elements:	70						
(a)	Understanding of the topic and clarity of the tender							
a.1	Outstanding ¹ level of detail The Tenderer has produced (above and beyond what has been produced in the Technical specification) a detailed, reasoned, extended description of their thoughts and opinions on the planned service content, the provision of services methods and performance	10	10	10	10	10	10	10

¹ **Outstanding** here and elsewhere means a detailed and well-structured description comprising features which exceed the required quality and performance set out in the Technical specification, with many, and/or additional to the ones mentioned in the Technical specification, alternatives analysed, options are substantiated including by taking into account the particular tasks of this particular project.

a.4	Insufficient⁴ level of details The Tenderer has failed to produce additional information as to their understanding of the general requirements of the project.	0							
(b)	Organisation of the work and resources								
b.1	Outstanding level of detail The technical Proposal details the engagement of experts (including non-key experts, if any) and justifies their role in the implementation of the study. A detailed description of their conformity to each of the expert category (role) required and their ability to perform all tasks contained within a role is provided. The Tenderer provides a clear, detailed and reasoned estimate of the number of working days each expert (including non-key experts, if any) will be engaged, as well as description of tasks performed by each expert. The Tenderer has provided a detailed Gantt Chart with clear tasks, clear deadlines, clear milestones, clear interrelations of preceding and succeeding tasks, clear resources needed indicated, clear responsibility split between the experts for the implementation of the Study with milestones and dates of deliverables clearly shown. The Proposal includes a detailed description of the planned cooperation and communication with the Contracting Authority, accounting for planned meetings, and potential items to be	20						20	20

⁴ **Insufficient** here and elsewhere means a description, which partly meets the required quality and performance set out in the Technical specification in some areas but with some major issues negatively deviating from the Technical specification and very limited or no additional analysis or substantiation including by taking into account the particular tasks of this particular project.

(d)	Quality of the proposed methodology for the Stakeholder mapping, engagement and management (WP 3)									
d.1	Outstanding level of detail The contents of the Technical Proposal conform with the Technical Specification, work package WP 3 is outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of these services and an outstanding description of methods, tools and processes in stakeholder mapping and engagement, provides detailed agenda for the stakeholder engagement along with proposed main agenda items, in the context of Tenderer's experience from similar projects in Europe.	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
d.2	High level of detail The contents of the Technical Proposal conform with the Technical Specification, work package WP 3 is outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of these services and a good description of methods, tools and processes in stakeholder mapping and engagement, provides detailed agenda for the stakeholder engagement along with proposed main agenda items.	15								
d.3	Satisfactory level of details The contents of the Technical Proposal conform with the Technical Specification, work package WP 3 is outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of services provides	10								

	an idea about the way and means of provision of these services and a satisfactory description of description of methods, tools and processes in stakeholder mapping and engagement.									
d.4	Insufficient level of details The Technical Proposal consists of unanswered or significantly inadequate and incomplete solutions to the required specific tasks. A failure to grasp the intended requirements of tasks outlined in the Technical specification. The Technical Proposal conforms with the Technical Specification and practice of the industry only partly, the description of provision of services does not provide an idea about the way and means of provision of these services and project in general and an insufficient description of the specific tasks of Wp 3.									
Total:		100	60	60	60	60	60	65	70	70
Total points for quality of the Technical Proposal:		64,17 = (60+60+60+65+70+70)/6 (6 - the number of the procurement commission members participated in the evaluation)								
Total points for quality of the Technical Proposal and for Financial Proposal:		64,17+30 = 94,17								

Justification of the assessment (Summary):

- (a) **Understanding of the topic and clarity of the tender:** The Tender demonstrates high understanding of the subject and offers clear manner of delivering the service. Proposal contains very detailed and well-structured description of applicable methodology for stakeholder management, work organization aspects and resources, risk management, service contents and also indicates vast experience of the Tenderer. All items indicate that the Tenderer has reached outstanding level of detail for this position.
- (b) **Organisation of the work and resources:** Engagement of key-experts and non-key experts is indicated clearly (including timing and workload) and justifies their role in the implementation of the Study. The Tenderer has provided a detailed Gantt Chart with clear tasks, clear deadlines, clear milestones, which corresponds with the expectations of Contracting Authority. Description of interaction with Contracting Authority is clear and reflects the mutual interaction during the delivery of the Study.
- (c) **Quality of the proposed methodology for the development of the solutions (WP 2):** WP 2.1 – Technical proposal is clear and understandable, proposed solutions are completely applicable to Rail Baltica design. Defined methodology and concept. The methodology offered by the Tenderer is clear and understandable. WP 2.2 – The Tenderer has provided a

part of embankment and cut-in solutions. WP 2.3 – Proposed methodology of implementation and placement of noise barriers complies with needs of Study and fulfils all requirements, however, description of potential visual appearance elements could be provided. WP 2.4 - Principles of animal passage positioning are clear, however, the description of potential visual appearance elements could be provided. WP 2.5 – Tenderer has provided all functional requirement needs. WP 2.6 – Tenderer has prepared a list of aspects to provide solutions for regional stations. WP 2.7 – Provided methodology of signage is completely acceptable for implementation in Rail Baltica railway. Signage part is included in Rail Baltica branding part (WP2.8). WP 2.8 – General understanding of Rail Baltica branding implementation is clear and understandable.

- (d) **Quality of the proposed methodology for the Stakeholder mapping, engagement and management (WP 3):** WP 3 package is proposed in outstanding level of detail and in well-structured manner - the contents of the Technical Proposal conform with the Technical Specification and the description of provision of services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of these services.